DOCKST FILE COPY ORIGINAL 94-19

RECEIVED

I would like to register my opposition to FCC MAIL ROOM the proposal of the POC for an increase in the Marine VHF radio license. At one time the renewal was free then jumped to \$35.00. This was a fair price. But now I understand the cost may triple. This may cause many boaters to stop using their VHF radios and that would be a safety disaster.

This past summer I was able to help several booters in distress by hearing their calls over the radio. On two occasions, with the use of my Loran, I was able to direct the Coast Guard on the Thesapeake and Delaware Bays.

If pleasure boat owners, most who are struggling to cover costs of operating, are forced to incur another expense radio operators them may choose to drop their radio licenses and this would decrease the number of ear listeners for distress calls.

Incidentially, you might like to know the federal government increased the fuel tax by twenty cents a gallon. (only on pllasure boots)

Thank you.

tember of Palkon

ephen(J. Palkovid

No. of Copies rec'd

94-19

RECEIVED

PRIVATE RADIO TUETAU

MAR 1 6 1994

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINA

Sechetary of the off CC Office of Managing Director FCC MAIL ROOM Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC 20554

MAR 11, 1994

Mr. Secretary:

I received your Marine Ship Station Regulatory Fees information sheet and I am left in a quandary. To partially quote the sheet: "---the Commission plans to collect the regulatory fee for the full 10 year license term at the same time---". At the present time the license term for a marine band VHF radio is 5 years, or am I mistaken? If not, is the term changing as well? Does this mean a new or renewal will cost \$70 processing, plus \$70 regulatory for a total of \$140? If all that is true the fees cost more than the radio. You will no doubt find many VHF marine radio users will not be acquiring licenses or they will not be using radios; in that event boating safety will be seriously affected. I hope our bureaucratic system has not failed to recognized these facts.

Respectfully
Robert V. Reyholds
(Private Citizen)

No. of Copies rec'd Lug.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

94-19

PRIVATE RADIO SUREAU March 10, 1994

Mar 16 8 11 M *94

RECEIVED

MAR VI 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

Sen. Ernest Hollings. Chairman Senate Commerce Committee U. S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Hollings,

It has been brought to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is about to more than triple of the cost of obtaining a VHF radio license to the recreational boating public from the current \$35 to \$110. This action is very poorly conceived and is opposed by all recreational boating associations and the U.S. Coast Guard for very good reasons. Primarily the cost of the license (which is higher than the cost of many of the radios on the market) would prevent many recreational boaters from purchasing and using this valuable piece of safety equipment. The result unless this proposal is reversed will be more loss of life and increased cost to the Coast Guard for unnecessary search and rescue. I cannot believe that our Congress intended for this to take place. It is obviously different for the commercial users who profit financially from their boating as opposed to the recreational boater. The truth is that the recreational boater should be exempt from all fees and encouraged to equip their boat with this piece of safety gear.

I know that you are a busy man but please just take a moment to imagine just one scenario that will often take place in the future. Imagine for a moment a family takes a fishing trip a short distance off shore enjoying good weather and having the kind of recreation that we encourage families to have. The boat's water pump fails as the day draws to an end the journey home is to begin. Night is about to come and the weather is beginning to deteriorate as a front approaches. What happens now? If the boat is equipped with a VHF license, they can simply call for assistance from a fellow boater, commercial tow or Coast Guard. Everyone concerned will know all of the information necessary as relayed by radio, and cost efficient help sent immediately. If the boat is not equipped with a radio just imagine what will happed. No one will know for many hours that there is a family in a disabled boat drifting at sea at night in

No. of Copies rec'd City
List ABCDE

deteriorating weather conditions. **When** they are finally reported missing by family or friends, they will have drifted many miles and it will be necessary for the U. S. Coast Guard to conduct and expensive and possibly dangerous air and sea search. This scenario is not far fetched at all. If you ask the Coast Guard, I believe they will confirm that this is a common occurrence that happens thousands of times a year.

I have written the FCC several times voicing my sincere concern for this legislation; however, I do not feel that they understand the nature of the marine environment and how valuable this piece of safety gear would be in such an emergency. When you think about it, would it make sense to tax life preservers? Obviously not, so let us not make this mistake in effect with VHF radios. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

James M. Jones

Sincerely.

1255 Twin Pine Run

Oviedo, Fl 32765

cc: Boat U. S.

FCC

PRIVAT SPEC

13 lo d

Secretary Of The FCC c/o Office of Managing Commission Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St. NW, Washington, D.C. 20554

94-19 BOOKET FILE COPY (1. **)
RECEIVED

MAR 11 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

Dear Sir;

As a member of the boating public, and one who is involved in the training of boating safety, I strongly urge you to reconsider increasing the fee for a VHF Marine Radio License.

A VHF-FM Radio, is the only life line that boaters have in case of an emergency at Sea. You have not targeted CB, Ham Radio, or any other operator of a transmitter, including Cellular Phone or Radio or TV Stations.

We the undersigned boaters feel that the Federal Government only wants to attack the boating public everytime they want to raise revenue. Starting with the imposing of the Luxury Tax on boats, then came the Boat User Fee (Which not one cent of the monies raised went towards anything to do with boating or boating safety), then the latest attack on the boating public, was the imposing of the Federal Tax on diesel fuel for Boaters. And now the latest attack on the boating public by the Federal Government, you want to force peop1é to commit an illegal act, and operate a VHF -FM Radio without a license by doubleing the fees. Five years ago this license was free, and when it was seen that the boating public had increased their use of the VHF radio, a 5 year \$35.00 fee was imposed for this license. No one liked this fee, but had accepted it under protest, since it had been free. To date a CB license is still free since the fee had been repealed years ago, and there is more CB being used in the U.S. than VHF Radio. Now you want to attack the boating public again, and double the fee. This will greatly hurt the boating public who use this media as a life saving tool when out on the water.

I strongly urge you to reconsider this matter, and $\underline{\text{Do}}$ Not increase the fee for a VHF-FM License one cent. I'am submitting this petition of protest signed by the boating public, for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely

William R. Johnson 793 Walkerbilt Rd. F-11

Naples, FL. 33963

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

	The second secon	
	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS
	alayne I Eastman	10682 Suppere th. naples - 33963
	Jeffer Oglo	703 Nathen Hole Rel nights Fl.
	hut land 2	18512 Sombrers Dr. Bonita Springs 33923 F
		8512 Sombrero Da Bomla Springs F13392
	Esm Even	4980 Lakehouse IN H-7 Maples, 41. 33963
	WM Energy	1480 La De Loure Ln 47 nople, FL. 3386
		793 Walky MIC. # + 12 Maple 337
	1 () A 3.46. (4.46.	793 Walbuhil Frol F-17 Naples Fla 3396.
	albert Gallo	115 WINWARD Dr. BONITA SPRING
	John W. Lacky 2	33 Tabott Dr. Spring Cok Boneta Springs 12 33923
	Soly Diglam S	25 CLUBSIDE DR-NAPLES FL 33963
	1 2 1 10/1	831 LANEMONT CONELANE X-104 BONITA SPONGS, IL 33423
		82/Laboment Com Lani XIS4 Benita Springs, Fla 3395
	Garles Com 3	09/ Jaurel Ridge Ct Bonita pungs
		091 Laural Kidge Ct. Bonda Springs 76 33.
	John Jolest 2	5501 Grost Blvd Boneta 7/33
	Chie Booky R.C.	Box 88 East Ambert DV 14051 Bonita
	Cyune Munn 2	1075 Orange Court Dr. Spring 339
	Mark Repre 2	1075 Orange Court Dr. Boring 3390:
	William 79	3 Walkerhell bel. Nogelle FL. 53963
11		

94-19

RECEIVED

MAR 17 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

March 5, 1994

Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Mar Lo 8 oct 194

Dear Sir:

It has been brought to our attention that you are considering a hike in marine radio license fees.

Marine radio has been proven to be a very effective means of communication in emergencies requiring rescue or assistance to prevent a problem from becoming a real emergency.

A hike in license fees would only serve to discourage installing radio equipment on pleasure craft causing a decrease in safety communications capabilities.

If anything fees should be reduced rather than increased in the interest of safety and rescue.

Sincerely,

Darbara Van hyke

Reverly

Ch- 45715